IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 66 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.1501 of 2003

ALONG WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 67 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1502 of 2003

ALONG WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 68 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1503 OF 2003

ALONG WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 69 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1497 OF 2003

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 66 OF 2006

Dr. (Smt.) Chhaya Dixit ... Petitioner

Versus

The Principal,

Bhavan’s Hazarimal Somani College of

Arts & Science & Ors. ... Respondents

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 67 OF 2006

Nimisha Modi ... Petitioner

Vs.

The Principal, Bhavan's Hazarimal
Somani College of Arts & Science & Ors.. Respondents

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 68 OF 2006

Myrn C. Halloween .. Petitioner

Vs.

The Principal, Bhavan's Hazarimal
Somani College of Arts & Science & Ors. Respondents

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 69 OF 2006

Utpala Mody ..Petitioner

vs.

The Principal, Bhavan's Hazarimal
Sopmani College of Arts & Science & Ors.. Respondents

Mr. S.S.Recdekar i/b. P.G.Karande for the petitioner.
Mr. Vijay Mehta i/b. M/s. Bhaishankar Kanga & Co. for Respondents 1 & 2.
Mr. Susheel Mahadeshwar for Respondent No.3.
Mr. M.D.Naik for Respondent No.4.

CORAM: S.K.SHAH,J.

DATE : 13th October, 2006.

P.C.

1. All these Contempt Petitions arise out of the

same order passed in separate Writ Petitions. The order is that if the recommendations are forwarded, the State Government will take decision to dereserve the posts and if no such recommenbdations are forwarded, the University is directed to forward the same and the Government will consider those recommendations and decide them within 12 weeks.

2. The learned Counsel for the Respondent-State states that although the recommendations were received, they have been considered and the decision has been taken.

3. Under the circumstances, all these Contempt Petitions stand dispose of.

(S.K.SHAH,J.)